

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION	15
CHAPTER 1	
COMPENDIUM OF GOOD PRACTICES OF COLLABORATION AMONG HIGHER EDUCATION, RESEARCH AND BUSINESS IN THE LOGISTIC AREA	21
1. Introduction	23
2. The Knowledge Triangle – Context and Definitions ¹	25
3. Logistics & Supply Chain Management - Context and Definitions ²	26
3.1. Basic Definitions	26
3.2. State-of-the-Art of the European Logistics	28
3.3. Development Trends in the European Logistics	30
4. Research Methodology for the Compendium Development	32
4.1. Research Activities and Methods	32
4.2. Criteria for selection of good practices in the field of Knowledge Triangle in HEIs	33
4.3. Good Practice Development Framework	36
5. Selected Good Practices in the Field of Knowledge Triangle in HEIs	38
5.1. Zaragoza Logistics Center (ZLC), SPAIN	39

5.2. Rotterdam School of Management, Erasmus University, the NETHERLANDS	64
5.3. The University of Huddersfield, UK	90
5.4. University of National and World Economy, BULGARIA	106
5.5. Montanuniversitaet Leoben, AUSTRIA	126
5.6. Hull University Business School, UK	130
5.7. Jönköping University, SWEDEN	132
5.8. Politecnico di Milano School of Management, Observatory on Contract Logistics, ITALY	142
5.9. Budapest Metropolitan University, HUNGARY	147
5.10. University of Padova, ITALY	152
5.11. University of Gothenburg, SWEDEN	159
5.12. Chalmers University of Technology, SWEDEN	168
6. Analysis of the Presented Good Practices	179
7. Conclusions and Recommendations	198
Annex 1: Template for the Good Practices Description	205
Annex 2: Good Practices Extracted from the HEIs Observed	206
 CHAPTER 2	
DEFINING A KNOWLEDGE TRIANGLE FRAMEWORK FOR THE LOGISTIC AREA	211
1. Definition of Knowledge Triangle (KT) and its application to the Logistic area: an Integrated Knowledge Triangle	213
2. Criteria and Indicators for evaluating the KT	218
2.1. Criteria	218

2.2. Indicators	219
3. FRAMELOG Self-assessment tool	222
3.1. Introduction of the questionnaire	222
3.2. Selection of user profile	224
3.3. Question for the selected profile	227
3.4. Conclusion	228
4. Bibliography	228
Annex 1: FRAMELOG Assessment tool structure (elaboration by the Authors)	229

CHAPTER 3

METHODS AND INSTRUMENTS FOR ENHANCING THE KNOWLEDGE TRIANGLE FRAMEWORK APPLICATION	233
1. Introduction	235
2. Structure	236
3. Methods and Instruments	237
3.1. Plan	239
3.2. Do	240
3.3. Check	241
4. Next steps	242
5. Bibliography	242
Attachment I: Systemic summary of the main methods and instruments to enhance the communication of the triangle for academic qualifications	245

CHAPTER 4

FRAMELOG STAKEHOLDERS' ENGAGEMENT PLAN	253
1. Introduction	255
2. Stakeholders analysis	255
2.1. Key matrix for stakeholder analysis	258
3. Stakeholder Planning and Communication	261
3.1. Analysis of High-impact methods and instruments	261
3.2. Action and Strategy	262
3.3. Channel of Communication	262
3.4. Object of Communication	264
3.5. Timing and use of resources	265
4. Expected Results	265
5. Bibliography	267
6. Sitography	268
Attachment I: Systemic summary of communication plan for the Engagement of the Key Players	269
Attachment II: Systemic summary of communication plan for the Engagement of Relevant Stakeholders	271

CHAPTER 5

GUIDELINES FOR IMPLEMENTING AN EFFICIENT FRAMEWORK FOR KNOWLEDGE TRIANGLE	273
Abstract	275
1. Introduction	276
2. Logistic Knowledge Triangle key concepts and aim of guidelines	278
3. Methodology	280

4. Structure of the guidelines	283
5. Guidelines for PLANNING	286
5.1. Starting the process: initiate the SWOT analysis, involve key partners and create the basic organizational structures	286
5.2. Selecting educational disciplines, contents and methods	287
5.3. Embedding industry experts as teachers and/or lecturers into educational courses	291
5.4. Accrediting courses: the key process for educational course alignment	295
6. Guidelines for PERFORMANCE	297
6.1. Developing of conjoint activities with business and research entities	297
6.2. Improving offer of internships and stage in logistics	300
6.3. Promoting thesis, Ph.D., research grants, fellowships and professorial chairs on logistics topics	303
6.4. Disseminating outputs regarding logistic projects' progress and results, thesis, grant agreement	306
7. Guidelines for CHECK and ACT	310
7.1. Analyzing progress and reviewing active conjoint activities	310
7.2. Engagement of new potential stakeholders	311
8. Conclusions	313
9. Bibliography	316
10. Sitography	319

CHAPTER 6

MAPPING THE CHALLENGES: IMPLEMENTING THE FRAMELOG IN THE FIELD OF QUALITY ASSURANCE AND ASSESSMENT	321
1. Introduction	323
2. Methodology	325
3. Challenges in implementing the FRAMELOG	327
3.1. Challenges in the Plan phase	328
3.2. Challenges in the Do phase	332
3.3. Challenges in the Check phase	334
3.4. Challenges in the Act phase	335
4. Conclusions	336

CHAPTER 7

GUIDELINES FOR HEIS FOR ENHANCING QUALITY ASSURANCE AND ASSESSMENT STRATEGIES IN LINE WITH FRAMELOG	337
1. Introduction	339
2. Quality Assurance and Assessment within the FRAMELOG	340
3. Guidelines implementation	341
3.1. Guidelines for the challenges identified in the PLANNING phase	341
3.2. Guidelines for the challenges identified in the DO phase	357
3.3. Guidelines for the challenges identified in the CHECK phase	363
3.4. Guidelines for the challenges identified in the ACT phase	366

4. Conclusions	369
CHAPTER 8	
VALIDATION REPORT REGARDING FRAMELOG AND THE MANUAL FOR ASSESSMENT AND QUALITY ASSURANCE	371
1. Introduction	373
2. Review of FRAMELOG activities and summary of intellectual outputs	373
2.1. Why a framework in Logistics?	373
2.2. Project's intellectual outputs IO1, IO2 and IO3 on short	374
2.3. FRAMELOG - The European Framework in Logistics	376
3. Background for Intellectual Output 4 – Validation report regarding FRAMELOG and the Manual for assessment and quality assurance	378
4. Research methodology	379
4.1. Research aim and importance	380
4.2. Research objectives	381
4.3. Research population and sample, research instruments and data collection	382
5. Results of consultation activities: quantitative and qualitative analysis of FRAMELOG stakeholders	386
5.1. Quantitative data analysis: conclusions on FRAMELOG at national level, comparing and contrasting partner countries	387
5.2. Qualitative analysis: conclusions at European level	394

6. Recommendation for final fine tuning of the framework and guidelines	396
7. Conclusions	410
8. Bibliography	411
Appendix 1: Quantitative instrument of research	413
Appendix 2: National report for Bulgaria	422
Appendix 3: National report for Italy	436
Appendix 4: National Report for Romania	455
Appendix 5: ELA's members validation of the European Framework in Logistics (FRAMELOG)	476
Annex 1 – The The European Framework for Knowledge Triangle or the FRAMELOG	497
Annex 2 – Visual representation of The European Framework for Knowledge Triangle or the FRAMELOG	529